

EXHIBIT 7

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00178
Patent No. 8,407,273

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 et seq**

the challenged claims over Bates-2010 is the same 2010 level of skill used in demonstrating a lack of support in the '201 Application. Goodin, ¶¶ 42, 45. Demonstrating that the claims would have been obvious in 2010 demonstrates that they remained obvious any time in the future as POSAs' level of skill only increases over time.

C. Claim Construction

Claim terms are construed herein using the *Phillips* standard. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b). Bates-2010's disclosure is identical to the '273 patent's disclosure. Because this common disclosure is the context in which the challenged claims would be construed under *Phillips* and because it provides verbatim disclosure for most terms in the challenged claims, the Board need not expressly construe any term. *Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.*, 868 F.3d 1013, 1017 (Fed. Cir. 2017).

D. Prosecution History

The examiner allowed the challenged claims, and the parent application's claims, without substantively discussing any prior art. Ex. 1042, 165-66; Ex. 1002, 164-67.